

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,677	12/10/1999	WILLIAM MUTILANGI	2105.2050	9164
5514	7590 01/17/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	5
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/458,611	MUTILANGI	ET AL
Examiner	Group Art	Unit
ARTHUR L. C	1761 1761	

	L Evaminar L Croup Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	\circ
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on(o - (8-01
This action is FINAL.	·
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) (- 6	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have bee	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the:	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have bee	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No ents have been received
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No. Jents have been received Jental Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have bee Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have beein this national stage application from the Internation* Certified copies not received:	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No. Jents have been received Jental Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No. ents have been received anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). In received. In received in Application No. ents have been received anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. -

Application/Control Number: 09/458,677

Art Unit: 1700

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stray –Gundersen in view of Schade and Jenner et al.

Applicant is referred to pages 3-4, Paper No. 3.

3. Claims 1-6 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over page 2, lines 16-31 of applicant's spec. in view of Jenner et al.

It would have been obvious to include sucralose with the acesulfame-K in the composition of the prior art discussed on page 2 of applicant's spec. since sucralose and acesulfame – K act together to provide a synergistic sweetening effect, as evidenced by Jenner et al (col. 2, 26-35). Finding the optimum amount of each component would require nothing more than routine experimentation by one reasonably skilled in this art.

4. Applicant's arguments filed October 18, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's comments regarding synergism are without merit since there is no factural evidence of record to support such comments.

Additionally, the law of obviousness does not require that references be combined for reasons contemplated by the inventor, but only looks to whether some motivation or suggestion. To combine the references is provided by the prior art taken as a whole (In re Beattie, 24 USPQ 2nd 1040).

Application/Control Number: 09/458,677

Art Unit: 1700

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate M and answers.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3602.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/458,677

Art Unit: 1700

Arthur Corbin/om January 15, 2002

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

(-16-02